

CONFIDENTIAL

78-0386

DDA/SECURITY

19 JAN 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Legislative Counsel

FROM:

[REDACTED] Chief, Physical Security Division, OS

25X1

SUBJECT: Missing Envelope Containing Notes Belonging to a Staff Member of the Senate Select Committee on Ethics [REDACTED]

25X1

REFERENCES: (a) Telephonic request from C/M&CB/OL to assist in location of the missing envelope, 20 December 1977

(b) Verbal request from DCI/SO to conduct an investigation regarding the missing envelope, 20 Dec 77

25X1

1. [REDACTED] In response to the referent requests, representatives of the Office of Security interviewed certain personnel in the Office of Legislative Counsel (OLC) and the Mail & Courier Branch (M&CB), Office of Logistics. To date, the results of this effort have failed to locate the missing envelope. However, several additional questions have surfaced for which there appears to be no immediate explanation.

25X1

2. [REDACTED] The OLC claims to have hand-carried the envelope in question to M&CB, circa the close of business 15 December 1977. This claim is based on the testimony of [REDACTED] an employee of the OLC Registry, and #2 copy of a courier receipt and log record #Q260342 (Form 240). [REDACTED] claims to have delivered the envelope to a member of M&CB, whom she tentatively identified as [REDACTED]

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

3. [REDACTED] The M&CB advised that on the evening in question, [REDACTED] Chief, M&CB, and couriers [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] were, in fact, in the main M&CB area, circa 1715 hours, although the Branch normally closes at 1700 hours.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

MORI/CDF

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Page Denied

25X1

25X1


25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1



25X1

7. [redacted] The #2 copy of the courier receipt in the possession of OLC could not be further validated, since the number (Q260342) was not recorded either in OLC or M&CB. In essence, the use of the courier receipt [redacted]

25X1

25X1

25X1



25X1

8. [redacted] In summary, on the basis of the evidence obtained to date, the Office of Security cannot make a determination relative to culpability with respect to the lost material. At this point, the investigation remains open and it is requested that any additional information pertaining to this matter be directed to Chief, Domestic Security Branch, [redacted] It is further suggested that OLC initiate a damage assessment report as appropriate in connection with this matter.

25X1

25X1



Distribution:

Orig - Addse
1 - C/LSD/OL
1 - C/OL/SS
1 - DCI/SO

CONFIDENTIAL

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

Chief, PhySD/OS

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

19 January 1978

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

OLC 7D-49 Hqs.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

*Log + ret to Iran
asap!*

PLC

Can you take on
the chore of a
damage assessment?
per last sentence.

JL.

25 Jan 78

LLM:

At the request of Security,
we started a paper by paper search
of our Registry. [REDACTED]
and I came in a week ago Saturday
and started the search. We have not
completed that search yet, but hope
to soon. I am then supposed to attest
to Security that the lost envelope is
not in OLC. I'm not sure exactly
where we go from there. They have
talked about a polygraph being the
possible next step for those involved
The check with the Ethics Committee I
understand ended with the woman involved
saying she still has not received the
envelope and that she didn't lose it
we did!

25X1

Joan



Page Denied

Next 5 Page(s) In Document Denied